

Revisiting Critical Concerns in Discourse Analysis: Towards the Advancement of Discourse Studies in Algeria

نظرة ثانية لاهتمامات النقد في تحليل الخطاب: نحو تطوير دراسات الخطاب في الجزائر

Toufik KOUSSA t.koussa@univ-setif2.dz	Teaching Literary Texts	University Setif 2 / Algeria
Doi: 10.46315/1714- 014- 001- 032		

Received: 28/02/ 2024 Accepted: 27/ 10/ 2024 Published : 16/ 01/ 2025

**

Abstract

This paper examines two crucial advancements in discourse analysis: disciplinary specialization and transdisciplinary integration. Both initiatives have contributed to the emergence of Discourse Studies as a distinct field of inquiry. Although Discourse Analysis has been a recognized field since the 1970s, mostly in France and the United Kingdom, Discourse Studies remains an evolving and often ambiguous subject, especially in Algeria. This study employs a theoretical framework to examine the progression of Discourse Studies. This inquiry primarily focuses on how interdisciplinary methods might address the social, political, and epistemological dimensions of speech. This study aims to promote a more critical and thorough approach to discourse analysis within a multidisciplinary framework, connecting the language sciences, social sciences, and humanities. This is achieved via a rigorous analysis of the theoretical frameworks established by poststructuralist theorists like Foucault and Pêcheux, with the integration of findings from contemporary studies. The theoretical framework enables the examination of discourse via many disciplinary lenses, while assessing the current state of discourse studies in Algeria.

Key Words: discourse analysis, discourse studies, critical discourse, multidisciplinary, poststructuralism

ملخص

يتناول هذا المقال اتجاهين بارزين في تحليل الخطاب: التخصص في ميدان معين وظهور نهج متعدد التخصصات ، مما يؤدي إلى تأسيس دراسات الخطاب كمجال ناشئ. على الرغم من أن تحليل الخطاب يعد مجالاً راسخاً منذ السبعينيات، خاصة في فرنسا والمملكة المتحدة، إلا أن دراسات الخطاب لا تزال مجالاً متطوراً وغالباً ما يكون غير محدد بشكل كافٍ، لا سيما في الجزائر. يعتمد هذا المساهمة على مراجعة نظرية لاستكشاف تطور دراسات الخطاب، مع التركيز على كيفية معالجة المناهج متعددة التخصصات للأبعاد الاجتماعية والسياسية والإبستمولوجية للخطاب. من خلال فحص نقدي للأسس النظرية التي وضعها علماء ما بعد البنيوية مثل فوكو وبيشيو، جنباً إلى جنب مع رؤى من البحوث الحديثة، يدعو هذا المقال إلى اتباع نهج أكثر نقدية وشمولية لتحليل الخطاب ضمن إطار متعدد التخصصات، يجمع بين علوم اللغة والعلوم الاجتماعية والعلوم الإنسانية. يتيح النهج النظري استكشاف الخطاب من منظور متعدد التخصصات، مع تقييم الحالة الراهنة لدراسات الخطاب في الجزائر.

الكلمات المفتاحية: تحليل الخطاب، دراسات الخطاب، علوم اللغة، متعددة التخصصات، ما بعد البنيوية

**

1. Introduction:

The discourse analysis is becoming pertinent across several academic disciplines, particularly within the humanities and social sciences. During the 1970s, discourse transitioned from a linguistic object to a central concern in other academic disciplines, including sociology, political science, history, and cultural studies. This transformation reflects the heightened understanding of language's function in shaping social structures, identities, and power dynamics.

This paper employs an in-depth theoretical review as its primary method of study. This study aims to investigate the multidisciplinary influences that have shaped discourse analysis and discourse studies by analyzing their theoretical evolution, particularly through the perspectives of structuralism, poststructuralism, and critical discourse analysis. This theoretical approach primarily emphasizes the analysis of how diverse academic traditions, particularly in language sciences, social sciences, and humanities, have contributed to the formation of discourse, aiming to understand discourse as a socially and politically constructed phenomenon. It seeks to tackle the specific challenges and opportunities related to the development of discourse studies in Algeria.

Discourse Analysis (DA) originated as a specialized subject within the field of linguistics. The main focus was on the functioning of language in social contexts. In 1969, Michel Pêcheux and Michel Foucault were among the first researchers to integrate concepts from structuralism, Marxism, and psychoanalysis into the analysis of discourse. Discourse analysis is deeply embedded in the language sciences in both France and the United Kingdom. This has substantially advanced the examination of text and discourse within its socio-political context. Conversely, during the last few decades, a more comprehensive and multidisciplinary viewpoint has emerged, leading to the establishment of Discourse Studies (DS). Linguistics comprises not just its own boundaries but other subjects like as social and political theory, cultural studies, and philosophy, among others.

Despite advancements in English-speaking countries and Europe, the field of Discourse Studies remains relatively underexplored in Algeria. This study aims to explore the potential for advancing Discourse Studies in Algeria by examining essential topics within the field and emphasizing both disciplinary specialization and transdisciplinary integration. This research examines the relationships among language, power, and society via a critical theoretical framework. This research advances the conversation on how interdisciplinary methods might improve discourse analysis inside Algerian academic institutions.

2. Literature Review

Structuralism, poststructuralism, and critical social theory have significantly contributed to the evolution of discourse analysis (DA) and discourse studies (DS), which have been deeply shaped

by interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks. Since the inception of DA, scholars have increasingly focused on the functions of language not just as a medium of communication but also as a mechanism of social control, knowledge construction, and identity formation.

2.1 Early Contributions and Foundational Works.

Michel Foucault (1969) and Michel Pêcheux (1969) are often recognized as foundational figures in the field of Discourse Analysis (DA). His research on the relationship among discourse, power, and knowledge substantially transformed the study of language by asserting that discourse functions as a mechanism of social control. Foucault's scholarship significantly contributed to this reorientation. In his 1972 book, Foucault illustrated that language serves as the dominant force regulating what may be spoken, contemplated, and comprehended within a certain environment. Consequently, language exerts influence on individuals and society. The impact of his work persists across several fields, including sociology, cultural studies, and political theory.

In 1969, Pêcheux developed a more overtly political variant of discourse analysis (DA), highlighting how language both mirrors and reinforces ideological power structures. He accomplished this by elaborating on these concepts. Marxism and psychoanalysis profoundly influenced Pêcheux's methodology in discourse analysis. He saw language as a mechanism used to maintain social hierarchies, a viewpoint shaped by both ideologies.

2.2 Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA)

Academics like Norman Fairclough (1992) and Teun van Dijk (2009) were pivotal in the development of Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA), which represented a significant progression in the field of discourse analysis. Fairclough (1992) asserts that critical discourse analysis (CDA) must examine not just the linguistic features of texts but also the broader social and political contexts in which these texts are produced. Van Dijk (2009) further developed this body of work by examining how discourse perpetuates societal inequalities, particularly on race, class, and gender problems.

Since its origin, CDA has seen considerable evolution, with recent papers emphasizing the need of using many methodological approaches. Wodak and Meyer (2016) highlighted the importance of considering historical settings in discourse analysis. Conversely, Reisigl and Wodak (2016) examined how speech may serve as both repressive and emancipatory, contingent upon the social context of its use.

2.3 Recent Developments and Global Perspectives

In recent years, discourse studies have developed into a significant interdisciplinary field, with academics focusing more on the roles of speech in globalized contexts. The results of a recent

research by Angermuller and colleagues (2014) underscore the need of embracing a more transdisciplinary approach to DS, integrating insights from sociology, political theory, and philosophy. Angermuller (2020) asserts that discourse studies (DS) is adept at addressing contemporary social challenges, including the emergence of populism and the increasing polarization of political dialogue. DS is also adept at addressing these difficulties.

Several empirical research undertaken in recent years have examined the operation of speech across diverse linguistic and cultural contexts. Research on digital discourse has examined the influence of social media platforms on the development of public opinion and the extent of political engagement (KhosraviNik, 2017). Furthermore, studies on multilingualism have shown how language use in postcolonial contexts, such as Algeria, mirrors broader social and political conflicts (Mair, 2016).

3. The Transition from Discourse Analysis to Discourse Studies

Since the 1970s, Discourse Analysis (DA) has been regarded as a distinct discipline within the language sciences. This notion has endured throughout the century. During this period, scholars sought to comprehend the operational processes of language within society, focusing on how power, subjectivity, and meaning are constructed via language usage. Early French theorists such as Michel Pêcheux (1969) and Michel Foucault (1969) emphasized the significant role of speech in the construction of social realities. Pêcheux focused on the ideological dimensions of discourse, while Foucault examined how language produces knowledge and subjectivity.

Pêcheux's (1969) research on automated discourse analysis exemplified a Marxist-influenced methodology in linguistics. This technique posits that speech reflects ideological conflicts embedded in society. His contributions laid the foundation for a critical discourse analysis that highlighted the significance of language in both the perpetuation of power structures and their contestation. Conversely, Foucault (1969) introduced the notion of speech as a conduit of power and knowledge. Foucault argues that language not only mirrors social reality but also actively transforms them by regulating what can be articulated and understood within a certain culture.

The widespread acceptance of Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) in the United Kingdom led to the further refinement of these notions. The research of Pêcheux and Foucault was expanded upon by scholars like Norman Fairclough (1992), who examined how language functions to maintain and justify social inequalities. The objective of Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) was to reveal the concealed power relations inside conversations, particularly in institutional settings. Fairclough (1992) posits that speech is not only a reflection of social behaviors; it is a crucial element in the reproduction of power dynamics within a society. Consequently, CDA positioned discourse as a central concern for scholars examining issues related to power, ideology, and inequality.

Discourse analysis has traditionally focused on the linguistic evaluation of texts and dialogues, notwithstanding the enhancements that have transpired. Conversely, during the last few decades, a more comprehensive and multidisciplinary viewpoint has emerged, leading to the establishment of Discourse Studies (DS). The scope of DS extends beyond linguistic study, including concepts from disciplines like as sociology, political philosophy, and cultural studies. The emergence of Data Science signifies a broader trend towards interdisciplinarity among the social sciences and humanities. This trend is marked by academics' efforts to include diverse theoretical perspectives and methodologies into their research.

Discourse analysis (DA) provides a comprehensive framework, examining the social, political, and historical dimensions of language use. This contrasts with discourse analysis (DA), which primarily examines the linguistic features of speech or talk. Discourse theory (DS) offers a comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the functioning of speech within society, using insights from several academic traditions. The shift from discourse analysis to discourse analysis signifies a growing recognition of the intricacies of speech and the need for interdisciplinary collaboration to effectively encapsulate the diverse nature of conversation.

4. Discourse Analysis in Language Sciences

Discourse analysis emerged prominently in response to the constraints of conventional language models, particularly those associated with Ferdinand de Saussure and Noam Chomsky. Discourse analysis shifted focus to the social and pragmatic dimensions of language use, diverging from Saussure's structuralist approach, which emphasized internal language structures, and Chomsky's generative grammar, which highlighted the abstract principles governing sentence formation.

Psychoanalysis and Marxist theory significantly contributed to the development of discourse analysis in France. Structuralism and poststructuralism were additional notable influences. Pêcheux (1969), in his research on automated discourse analysis, endeavored to integrate concepts from other intellectual traditions into the examination of speech. He emphasized how language both reflects and perpetuates ideological power structures. The philosophy of Althusserian Marxism inspired this approach, particularly the idea that language significantly influences the structure of the state's intellectual apparatus.

Discourse analysis developed in the United Kingdom along unique trajectories, primarily influenced by pragmatics and interactionism, more so than in other nations. There are scholars such as J.L. Three authors: Austin (1962), John Searle (1969), and H.P. Grice (1975) emphasized the performative and interactional dimensions of language, specifically examining how speech acts function within specific contexts of social interaction. Scholars like James Paul Gee (2011)

and Jan Blommaert (2005), who emphasized the importance of examining language use within everyday social contexts, advanced the ongoing evolution of this pragmatic discourse approach.

A sustained dialogue between linguistic theory and social theory has propelled the advancement of discourse analysis within both the French and Anglo-American traditions. The Anglo-American tradition prioritizes micro-level interactions and the practical functions of language in everyday life, while the French tradition tends to focus more on macro-level studies of social structures and power dynamics. The differences among these methodologies mirror broader theoretical debates within the social sciences, particularly the dispute between structuralist and poststructuralist perspectives on language and society

5. The emergence of discourse studies as a multidisciplinary discipline

The change from Data Analysis to Data Science signifies broader conceptual transformations in the social sciences and humanities, particularly the increasing importance of interdisciplinary research. The area of conversation Studies offers a novel perspective on the examination of conversation. This method underscores the need of interdisciplinary collaboration and theoretical advancement. To attain its objective of offering a comprehensive knowledge of language's function in society, DS utilizes concepts from a diverse array of disciplines.

One of the paramount elements of discourse studies is its pronounced focus on the interrelation of language, power, and knowledge. speech Studies examines how speech functions as a tool of power, regulating what may be articulated, understood, and enacted within a certain culture. This is accomplished by expanding upon the contributions of Foucault (1980) and other poststructuralist thinkers. This critical viewpoint on speech has been particularly important in fields such as sociology, political theory, and cultural studies. Researchers in these domains have used discourse analysis to examine issues related to ideology, identity, and hegemony.

DS, however, emphasizes the importance of empirical research and the use of creative approaches. Initial phases of discourse analysis primarily focused on theoretical inquiries; however, contemporary discourse analysis prioritizes empirical methodologies, including corpus analysis, ethnography, and multimodal analysis, to examine language within its social and political contexts. Scholars use a diverse array of tools and methodologies to examine conversation, and this methodological diversity reflects the interdisciplinary nature of discourse analysis.

There exists significant potential for data science to become into a major field of study in Algeria. The country's rich linguistic and cultural diversity facilitates the exploration of the complex relationships among language, identity, and power. Moreover, Algeria serves as an

exemplary site for investigating the interplay between language and society evolution due to the political and social turmoil it has seen in recent decades.

6. The Role of Poststructuralism in Discourse Studies

Poststructuralist theory has significantly contributed to the evolution of DS, particularly with its emphasis on the contingent and constructed nature of meaning. According to scholars like Michel Foucault and Jacques Derrida, meaning is neither static or intrinsic to language; instead, it is constructed via discursive practices shaped by power dynamics. This approach challenges the traditional perception of language as a neutral medium for conveying information, emphasizing instead its role in shaping social reality.

Foucault's concept of power and knowledge is particularly relevant to diversity and inclusion because it highlights the role of discourse in shaping what may be known and articulated within a certain culture. Foucault (1980) contends that power is exerted not alone via repression but also through the construction of knowledge and truth. This creative capacity operates via discourse, since language shapes the formation of subjects and the organization of social relationships. Discourse is a fundamental vehicle via which this power operates.

Deconstruction, as introduced by Derrida in 1976, has profoundly influenced DS, particularly by highlighting the indeterminacy and instability of meaning. Derrida's critique of logocentrism challenges the belief that language can provide a clear and coherent representation of reality. Rather, it underscores the notion that meaning is ever transitory and deferred. In literary theory and cultural studies, academics have used deconstruction to examine how texts create and subvert meaning, making this method particularly relevant.

Poststructuralist theory provides a vital framework within DS for analyzing how discourse constructs and challenges social reality. Poststructuralism emphasizes the contingent and constructed nature of meaning, challenging standard assumptions about language and cognition. This creates new avenues for critical examination of how speech influences society.

7. Challenges and Opportunities in Discourse Studies in Algeria

Although Algerians are increasingly interested in discourse analysis, the field remains mostly underdeveloped. This may be partially ascribed to the lack of institutional support for interdisciplinary research and the predominance of traditional methodologies in language studies. Notwithstanding this, there exists a considerable chance for DS to expand in Algeria, particularly when considering the nation's rich linguistic and cultural heritage.

One of the most critical challenges now faced by DS institutions in Algeria is the need for more interdisciplinary collaboration among scholars in the language sciences, social sciences, and humanities. Although discourse analysis (DA) is well-established in linguistics, there has been little

engagement with its broader social and political dimensions. It is essential to augment collaboration among linguists, sociologists, political scientists, and other scholars engaged in discourse analysis to advance the area of discourse studies in Algeria.

Furthermore, there is a want for the advancement of further empirical research on speech in Algeria, particularly with the linguistic and cultural diversity present inside the country. Algeria has a rich array of languages, including Arabic, Berber, and French. Each of these languages contributes uniquely to the construction of social identities and power dynamics inside the nation. Researchers may get valuable insights on the functioning of speech in shaping and reflecting Algerian society by examining the usage of different languages across many social and political contexts.

8. Conclusion

This study aimed to examine the critical challenges now facing discourse studies in Algeria. This article highlights the significance of expanding discourse analysis as a vital study domain in Algeria via a reevaluation of its theoretical foundations and an exploration of interdisciplinary collaboration opportunities. Algerian researchers have the capacity to contribute to the global advancement of DS by embracing a more holistic and interdisciplinary approach. This approach will enable students to confront the particular social, political, and linguistic challenges now faced by their country.

**

References

- Angermuller, J. (2020). The political rhetoric of populism is analyzed within the framework of discourse studies. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 19(1), 26–52.
- Angermuller, J., Maingueneau, D., & Wodak, R. (2014). *Main Currents in Theory and Analysis: An Anthology on Discourse Studies*. John Benjamins Publishing.
- Blommaert, J. (2005). *Discourse: A Critical Introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Derrida, J. (1976). *Of Grammatology*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Fairclough, N. (1992). Social discourse transformation and change. In *Political Journalismism*.
- Foucault, M. (1969). *The Archaeology of Knowledge*. Pantheon Books.
- Foucault, M. (1972). *The Order of Things: An Archaeology of the Human Sciences*. Pantheon Books.
- Gee, J. P. (2011). *An Introduction to Discourse Analysis: Theory and Method*. Routledge.
- KhosraviNik, M. (2017). Social media critical discourse studies (SM-CDS). *International Journal of Communication*, 11, 1163-1187.
- Mair, C. (2016). Sociolinguistic approaches to multilingualism in postcolonial contexts. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 13, 230-245.
- Pêcheux, M. (1969). *Automatic Discourse Analysis*. Dunod.
- Reisigl, M., & Wodak, R. (2016). The discourse-historical approach: The development of identities through language in political contexts. In R. Wodak & M. Meyer (Eds.), *Methods of Critical Discourse Studies* (3rd ed., pp. 23-61). Sage.
- Van Dijk, T. A. (2009). *Analyzing discourse within its social context*. Cambridge University Press.
- Wodak, R., & Meyer, M. (Eds.). (2016). *Methods of Critical Discourse Studies* (3rd ed.). Sage.